

Relevant Problems in Collaborative Processes of Non-Hierarchical Manufacturing Networks

Andrés B¹, Poler R¹

Abstract This paper identifies some of existing problems associated with collaboration among SMEs of the same network. Concretely, the problems are focused on Non-Hierarchical manufacturing Networks (NHN). The research objective is to identify the most relevant problems existing when SMEs have to deal with decentralized decisions (DDM). For this purpose, a methodology that allows researchers to identify the most relevant problems in the NHN context is presented with the main aim of providing solutions in the future.

Keywords Collaborative problems, non-hierarchical networks, decentralised decisions

1.1 Introduction

In today's global market, SMEs (Small and Medium Enterprises) competitiveness and growth rely largely on the movement towards highly innovative industrial systems and agile networked enterprises through the creation and consolidation of Non-Hierarchical Manufacturing networks (NHN) of multinational SMEs.

Traditional Hierarchical Manufacturing Networks (HN) are based on centralized decision models (CDM), where the majority of the involved companies have to adapt themselves to the constraints defined by a minority of dominant firms. CDM generates significant inefficiencies for the entire network due to the underestimation of the constraints of each company. The HN performance can be improved through considering decentralized decision-making models (DDM), thus create NHN. The main contribution offered by DDM in NHN is that all the networked partners are involved in the business processes management in a collaborative way. DDM improves each network node commitment as regards to the overall goal of the network while improving communication, collaboration and

¹ Beatriz Andrés, Raúl Poler (✉)

Research Centre on Production Management and Engineering (CIGIP). Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV). Plaza Ferrándiz y Carbonell, 2. 03801 Alcoy (Alicante), Spain
e-mail: beaanna@cigip.upv.es, rpoler@cigip.upv.es

flows among nodes (Poler, 2010). In short, NHN equally considers all network partners.

An increasing number of organization forms in collaborative networks are emerging as a result of the in information and communication technologies advances, the market and society needs and the international projects progress. Andrés and Poler (2011) identify and analyze problems that arise in collaborative networks and propose a classification matrix for the most relevant ones.

This paper proposes a methodology for selecting amongst all the collaborative problems, identified in Andrés and Poler (2011), those considered more relevant to support collaborative process in NHN. The problems identification will allow us to find solutions in future research.

1.2 Identified Problems in Collaborative Networks

In collaborative networks context there is a wealth of knowledge available, but there is a need to consolidate this knowledge through developing a framework that provides models, guidelines and tools for supporting collaborative processes. Despite the interest in the topic under research, there is no framework that relates problems and solutions associated with collaborative processes in NHN. Andrés and Poler (2011) review some of the existing problems affecting the network collaborative processes and propose a collection of solutions that address the most relevant problems that affect collaborative processes caused by the inter-organizational barriers (Poler et al. 2002). Furthermore, the degree of coverage analysis it is proposed for identifying the extent to which the literature provided solutions, of the identified problems, can be adopted to address the problems in NHN context. In this way, if the solution is specifically provided for NHN it has an excellent degree of coverage for NHN (●). Otherwise, if the solution is focused for HN it must be determined in which extent the provided solution can be implemented in NHN. For that, the solution is classified in a poor, unsatisfactory, acceptable or satisfactory degree of coverage (Andrés and Poler, 2011).

The *poor coverage* level (○) is associated with those solutions that cannot be applied to NHN. The problem is listed as an *unsatisfactory coverage* level (◐) when the solution concept or idea can be implemented in NHN but the solution itself cannot be applied due to the solution is subject to a HN features. The degree of *acceptable coverage* (◑) is associated with problems whose solutions are outlined for the HN scenarios but there can be applied to NHN. The *satisfactory coverage* level (◒) is allocated to those problems for which the majority solution lines are adaptable or defined for NHN. Finally, the problem is classified as an *excellent coverage* (●) when the solution has been specifically designed for NHN context.

The identified problems are conceptually arranged according to the decision-making level: strategic, tactical and operational (S/T/O) and according to the used criteria for the solutions classification: models, guidelines and tools (M/G/T).

The classification scheme of the identified problems affecting collaboration in manufacturing networks is showed in Table 1.1, from both HN and NHN perspective.

Table 1.1 Collaborative Network Problems (Andrés and Poler, 2011)

				Models		
				Guidelines		
				Tools		
Level	Strategic		Tactical		Operational	
Collaborative Problems	(1) Network Design	●	(1) Forecast Demand	●	(1) Scheduling	●
	(2) Decision System Design	●	(2) Operations Planning	●	(2) OPP	●
	(3) Partners Selection	●	(3) Replenishment	●	(3) Lotsizing Negotiation	●
	(4) Strategy Alignment	●	(4) Performance Management	●	(4) Inventory Management.	●
	(5) Partners Coordination	●	(5) Knowledge Management.	●	(5) Information Exchange	●
	(6) Product Design	●	(6) Uncertainty Management.	●	(6) Process Connection	●
	(7) PMS Design	●	(7) Negotiation Contracts among partners	●	(7) Interoperability	●
	(8) Coordination Mechanisms Design	●	(8) Share costs/profits	●		
			(9) Coordination Mechanisms Management	●		

Degree of Coverage: ○ poor ● unsatisfactory ● acceptable ● satisfactory ● excellent

The identified problems have been discussed in the literature for addressing collaborative problems among networked partners. Furthermore, the problems are considered due to there are important and complex to achieve collaborative processes among networked SMEs.

1.3 Selection of relevant problems for NHN context

According to the proposed matrix and the degree of coverage (Table 1.1), problems with unsatisfactory solutions in NHN context have been identified. The aim of this section is to identify amongst all the identified problems, those that have less satisfactory solutions and are considered more important for providing solutions in NHN context. The methodology for selecting the most relevant problems in NHN context is proposed next (Fig. 1.1).







Fig. 1.1 Methodology for selecting relevant problems in NHN

Following the methodology the first step is the justification of the solution failure that will prove why the problem is considered total or partially unresolved taking into account the degree of coverage results.

Amongst the unresolved and partially resolved problems, we proceed to define the degree of coverage of the problem (Table 1.2).




Table 1.2 Degree of Coverage of the problem

Degree of Coverage	Problem with non satisfactory degree of coverage. Is necessary to cover it.	
	The solutions do not cover the problem in NHN context	
	Problem with an acceptable degree of coverage. Is necessary to cover it. The provided solutions have a half degree of coverage in NHN context	
	Problem with a satisfactory degree of coverage. The problem is completely covered in NHN context by the solutions proposed in the literature	

Once identified the degree of coverage of the problem, the third phase is to determine which are the most important problems when decentralized decision making and collaborative non-hierarchical relationships are established in a network. The problems are classified into (i) significant problems to establish network collaborative relationships (↑↑↑ or ↑↑) and (ii) problems with a lower degree of importance to establish collaborative relationships in a network (↑).

The classification of the problems regarding (i) the degree of coverage of the solution and (ii) the degree of significance to establish decentralized and collaborative relationships enables the definition of the degree of importance for providing a solution, from highest to lowest importance (Table 1.3).

Table 1.3 Allocation rule followed for determining the degree of importance in the problem resolution

Degree of Coverage	Degree of significance for establishing collaborative relations	Degree of Importance for providing a solution for NHN
	↑↑↑	Very Important
	↑↑ or ↑	Important
	↑↑↑	Very Important
	↑↑	Important
	↑	Less Important
	↑↑↑ or ↑↑	Less Important
	↑	Less Important



The degree of importance for providing a solution in the NHN context determines the problems in which the proposal solution should focus on (table 1.4). According to the table 1.3, the relevant problems to provide solutions correspond with those classified with less acceptable degrees of coverage ( / ) and are pretty significant for establishing non-hierarchical collaborative relationships (↑↑↑ / ↑↑). In this way, the most significant problems for establishing non-hierarchical collaborative relationships will be classified as “very important”, while less influential problems for establishing collaborative relationships will be classified as “important” or “less important” (table 1.4).

Table 1.4 Relevant problems to provide solutions

	Candidate Problems	Coverage	Significance	Solution Degree	Importance
STRATEGIC	Network Design	●	↑↑↑		Less Important
	Decision System Design	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
	Partners Selection	●	↑↑		Important
	Strategy Alignment	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
	Partners Coordination	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
	Product Design	●	↑		Important
	PMS Design	●	↑↑		Less Important
	Coordination Mechanisms Design	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
TACTICAL	Forecast Demand	●	↑↑		Less Important
	Operations Planning	●	↑↑		Less Important
	Replenishment	●	↑↑		Less Important
	Performance Management	●	↑↑		Less Important
	Knowledge Management	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
	Uncertainty Management	●	↑↑		Important
	Contracts	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
	Share Costs/Benefits	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
	Coordination Mechanisms Management	●	↑↑↑		Very Important
OPERATIONAL	Scheduling	●	↑		Less Important
	Order Promising Process	●	↑↑		Less Important
	Lot sizing Negotiations	●	↑		Less Important
	Inventory Management	●	↑↑		Important
	Information Exchange	●	↑↑		Less Important
	Processes Connection	●	↑↑↑		Important
	Interoperability	●	↑↑		Less Important

Legend of the *Significance for NHN*: ↑↑↑ Very Significant ↑↑ Significant ↑ Less Significant

Before selecting the problem to provide a solution we have to ensure that the selected problem has not been previously addressed from the NHN perspective. Therefore, a literature review must be specifically carried out for papers dealing with the selected problem. Updating the literature review for a particular problem will allow us to decide if the selected problem does really need future research to propose a solution.

Finally, the required previous knowledge and technological limitations must be taken into account for selecting the problem under study and provide a solution.

1.4 Further Research

Once the problems that need further research are detected, the building blocks of the collaborative framework for non-hierarchical manufacturing networks are identified. Fig. 1.2 shows the building blocks that need further research. Less shaded blocks correspond with the problems that have been already solved in the

literature, in a particular solution area (models, guidelines and tools), with a satisfactory or excellent degree of coverage. Blocks with intense shading correspond to the problems whose provided solutions, in the literature, have an unsatisfactory degree of coverage. Problems with intense shading have greater need to provide a solution in the NHN context. Finally, blocks with intermediate shading correspond to those solutions that solve the problem in an acceptable degree of coverage or in a centralized context; thus the problem requires being adapted to the decentralized context. Whether the problem is not covered but is not required to be covered in a determinate area due to there are other solution areas that address it satisfactorily, is also classified with intermediate shading.




Fig. 1.2 Building blocks for NHN Collaborative Framework. Problems with less satisfactory solutions in NHN context.

1.5 Conclusions

There exist many types of problems affecting inter-enterprise collaboration. This paper has proposed a methodology that allows us to identify the most relevant problems, in collaborative networks context, to be solved in the future.

The identification of collaborative problems reveals the existence of many problems affecting the inter-enterprise collaboration, most of them treated in HN context. Andrés and Poler (2011) relate both relevant problems and solutions that have been studied in the collaborative context. To each problem is associated the degree of coverage, that is the extent to which the provided solution can be implemented in NHN. In this way, through the proposed methodology problems with unsatisfactory degree of coverage solutions and relevant for establishing collaborative relations have been detected. The relevant problems for providing solutions in NHN are (i) at the strategic level: *decision system design, partners selection, strategies alignment, partners coordination, product design, and coordination mechanisms design* (ii) at the tactical level: *knowledge management, uncertainty management, contracts, share costs and benefits and coordination mechanisms management* and (iii) at the operational level: *inventory management, and process connection*. The listed problems are identified as important to provide solutions in the NHN context.

The future research lines are focused on building a *Collaborative Framework for Non-Hierarchical Manufacturing Networks* that will focus on problems which current solutions do not provide satisfactory degrees of coverage in the NHN view. The expected contribution of the future dissertation research is to develop a framework for providing models, guidelines and tools for supporting collaborative processes, specifically in the non-hierarchical context (NHN). The framework is aimed to achieve a better understanding how SMEs deal with collaborative problems in NHN.

1.4 References

- Afsarmanesh, H., Camarinha-Matos, L. (2005). A framework for management of virtual organization breeding environments. En H. Afsarmanesh, & L. Camarinha-Matos, In Collaborative Networks and their Breeding Environments pp.35-48. Valencia: Springer PRO-VE'05.
- Andrés, B., Poler, R. (2011). Análisis de los Procesos Colaborativos en Redes de Empresas No-Jerárquicas. XV Congreso de Ingeniería de Organización. Cartagena
- Camarinha-Matos, L., Afsarmanesh, H., Galeano, N., Molina, A. (2008). Collaborative networked organizations – Concepts and practice in manufacturing enterprises. Computers & Industrial Engineering, Vol. 57, nº1 pp. 46-60

Poler, R., Ortiz, A., Tormo, G., Gutierrez, D. (2002). Practices in Knowledge Management in Small and Medium Firms in Enterprise Inter. And Intra Organizacional Integration Building International Consensus. En L. Camarinha-Matos, & H. Afsarmanesh, Processes and Foundations for Virtual Organizations, pp. 217-224. KluwerAcademic Publishers.

Poler, R. (2010). Intelligent Non-Hierarchical Manufacturing Networks (iNet-IMS). Intelligent Manufacturing Systems. Obtenido de <http://www.ims.org/sites/default/files/iNet-IMS%20MTP%20Initiative%202009%20v1.3.doc>