

The reduction of CO₂ emission into the supply network design: A review of current trends in mathematical models

Pinto C, Coves AM¹

Abstract. The problem of global warming is attracting increasing attention in the academic and industrial world. Today a major portion of the population is aware that greenhouse gases (GHGs) are the main contributors to climate change. This article reviews current trends in CO₂ emission reductions in supply network design. This article focuses on mathematical models employed in supply network design that include environmental characteristics. Facility location and technology selection are important factors in supply design, and may determine the possible environmental damage and economic impact of a supply network. The CO₂ market appears as an interesting alternative for managing emissions using CO₂ credits. In the area of production, emphasis is placed on reducing emissions through efficient energy use and in the transport area through freight transport consolidation, and more efficient vehicle utilization.

Key words: supply network design, CO₂ emission reductions, mathematical models

1. Introduction

Greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) as key contributors to global warming have become a major concern for governmental bodies and the industrial sector in recent years. Upon the signing in 1997 of the Kyoto Protocol (Protocol, 2007), whose aim was to reduce six types of greenhouse gases, 187 states (both devel-

¹Anna Maria Coves (✉)

Department of Management, Institute of Industrial and Control Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Av. Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
e-mail: anna.maria.coves@upc.edu

oped and developing) have developed strict goals to their CO₂ emissions in the near future.

Virtually the entire scientific, political, business, and social community is aware of the significance of this environmental challenge. Many companies also view a “green supply network” (GSN) as a means of maintaining a positive public image, as consumers increasingly value environmentally responsible production.

One of the ways the business sector has found to reduce CO₂ emissions is by establishing international standards for measuring environmental impact through emissions inventories. Various tools and guides have been developed to help companies design effective strategies to reduce emissions. One of these tools is the Greenhouse Protocol (GHG Protocol) (WBCSD/WRI, 2011), which allows for the measurement of direct and indirect GHG emissions from a corporate standpoint. Another regulatory tool is ISO 14064 (ISO 14064, 2006), which is coherent and compatible with the GHG Protocol. In general terms, ISO 14064 identifies the “What” and the GHG Protocol, the “How” and “Why”. ISO 14064 is oriented toward audits, while the GHS protocol is oriented toward providing a set of options for reducing GHGs.

There is another specification with a focus on the product rather than the company known as PAS 2050 (BSI, 2011), which provides a method for assessing gas emission of products and services based on Life Cycle Assessment (LCA).

Companies interested in making environmental improvements to their supply network often use LCA to analyse environmental impacts within their processes (De Benedetto et al., 2009). LCA assesses the environmental impact throughout a product’s life cycle and includes all stages within the supply network, from raw material extraction and processing, to manufacturing, transport, distribution, consumption, reuse, recycling, and waste treatment. LCA is also used to assess possible investment alternatives related to environmental impact (Freeman et al., 1992) (raw material selection, suppliers and manufacturing processes).

Many publications use LCA for assessing and quantifying environmental impact within a supply network. One case looks for a minimization of environmental impacts in supply network design and traditional economic costs (Saman et al., 2011). In their article, the authors propose a fuzzy, multi-objective mathematical model under conditions of uncertainty, which uses LCA to find a balance between positive economic balance and environmental impact.

This present article reviews current trends in reducing GHG emissions in supply networks and mathematical models used. This article organizes publications according to the authors’ specific area of focus for reducing CO₂ emissions in the tactical-operational field (production and distribution planning) or the strategic field (technology selection and facilities locations).

This article is structured in the following manner: The article begins with an introduction explaining the importance of integrating decisions related to GHG reduction. The article then reviews the most important contributions in this field, with particular focus on the mathematical models used in network supply design

that include environmental characteristics. The final section of the article includes the main conclusions and proposals for future research.

2. Importance of integrating GHG reduction into supply network design

Environmental factors in supply network design have become a focus of research in recent years. Studies have analysed the implications of different transportation types with regard to CO₂ emissions, as well as of energy-saving technology in both transportation and production. The concept GSN has recently appeared to include the environmental factor in supply network design.

GSN design needs to consider initial investment in environmental protection equipment and techniques; financial needs; and consequences for system functioning. Decisions regarding facility location and capacity must be integrated with decisions regarding environmental investment, given this investment can affect environmental indicators in the operational phase.

3. Mathematical Models in GSN design

Over the last two decades, environmental management has been increasingly integrated into network supply design, due, in part, to pressure on the business sector to protect the environment (Wu et al., 1995). This development has been accompanied by an increase in literature on GSN. We analysed the most recent contributions in the field of CO₂ emission reduction in supply network design.

Table 1.1 Publications on mathematical models for designing green supply networks.

	Mathematical models					
	Location of facilities	Selection of technologies	Carbon market	Production	Transport	Recycling
2004						[10]
2005	[11]	[11]		[11]; [13]	[11]	
2006	[15]			[21]		
2007						
2008						
2009	[2]	[2]			[2]	
2010	[23]; [5]	[23]	[19]	[5]; [19]	[17]; [22]; [5]; [19]	[5]
2011	[1]		[1]	[14]; [1]	[14]; [1]	[14]

Numbers correspond to cited references.

In Table 1.1 publications are classified according to the type of network supply design decision. Each publication is classified according to how variables reducing CO₂ emissions are integrated into the mathematical model.

This section of the article will centre on mathematical models that simultaneously optimize economic and environmental impacts in the same network design. A synthesis of the most significant contributions will be presented in order to identify the concepts considered in each article and their associated decision variables. The type of objective function and model used will also be indicated.

The following sections will discuss each of the concepts included in Table 1.1. Each section highlights the most important aspects and techniques used by the authors to reduce GHGs when designing supply networks.

- *Facility location and capacity*

Determining number and location of facilities is one of the strategic decisions included in GSN design. One can determine the location of productive plants (Hugo et al., 2005; Letmathe et al., 2005); the size of productive plants and distribution centres (Chaabane et al., 2010; Abdallah et al., 2011); as well as the location of pick-up and recycling centres (Min et al., 2006). Others studies include facility locations as variables within a multi-objective mathematical model to find a balance between possible environmental damage and economic impact (Wang et al., 2011; Bajarski et al., 2009).

- *Technology Selection*

Despite the consensus on the importance and benefits of adopting sustainable practices along the entire value chain, one of the major challenges continues to be searching for innovative technologies. Thus the importance of generating long-term planning models to determine investment decisions relating to optimal selection, installation, and expansion of processes technologies (Hugo et al., 2005).

Economic impact is often related to environmental impact. When dealing with investment in technology, the balance between total costs and environmental effects is especially important. Choosing the level of environmental protection is one of the variables to decide in designing a GSN, and is related to the investment in processes technology (Wang et al., 2011). A greater investment in technology leads to reduced CO₂ emissions. Investment in environmental protection can imply buying equipment or technology or adopting cleaner processes. The multi-objective model developed by latest cited authors introduces a new decision variable, “environmental protection level”. Technology selection depends on the environmental protection level set in the planning phase. Generally, the greater the investment gets the greater the protection. Another multi-objective model is proposed in which potential plants may be selected using different types of tech-

nology (Hugo et al., 2005). Their model is based on LCA principles, and presented as a support tool in sustainable investment planning.

- *Carbon market*

Article 17 of the Kyoto Protocol sets forth a new concept allowing both countries and companies to optimize CO₂ emissions by establishing an “emissions trading” scheme. Under this scheme, companies can buy or sell CO₂ credits, and in so doing, meet their environmental goals. Regardless of whether the company has set voluntary reduction goals or is subject to GHG emission caps, this scheme is based on assigning a quota of emission credits [1 credit = the right to emit one metric ton of carbon dioxide equivalent to (tCO₂e)], which each company must manage in the most efficient manner possible. At the end of each period, each company’s emissions are verified. If real emissions are greater than the imposed emission quota, the difference may be compensated by purchasing credits on the market. If real emissions are less than the permitted, the company may sell CO₂ credits on the market and earn profits (Chaabane et al., 2010).

The environmental impact can be measured by converting CO₂ tonnage caused by supply network activities into CO₂ credits, according to the CO₂s tCO₂e price in the CO₂ market (Ramudhin et al., 2008). These authors propose a mixed integer linear programming (MILP) model for designing a green supply network integrating decisions related to “carbon trading”. The model allows companies to assess different strategies regarding supplier and subcontractor selection, product allocation, productive capacity utilization, and transport configuration in terms of their impact on GHG emissions.

Managing CO₂ emissions through CO₂ credits is an interesting means of attaining environmental goals. In one mathematical model, CO₂ emission credits may be managed by introducing an average expected cost per CO₂ credit into a company’s economic objectives (Abdallah et al., 2011). They present an optimization model which seeks to minimize traditional costs and CO₂ emissions by introducing a new concept known as “green procurement”, where companies are able to decide how to manage their CO₂ emissions within the CO₂ market. Their MILP model also includes assessing supplier CO₂ emissions in order to design a green supply chain beginning at the point of material procurement.

- *Production Operations*

Production operations contribute to increased greenhouse gases in two main ways: a) through the energy source used, or b) by emissions related to the production process, itself. The energy source used for production is the main factor in GHGs (Moomaw et al., 1996), which means that more efficient energy use, cleaner energy sources, and cleaner processes technology can significantly reduce GHGs.

At the strategic level, it is possible to pose the problem in a long-term planning horizon that includes processes technology selection and expansion capacity (Hu-

go et al., 2006), and develop a systematic focus to identify the synergy among different energy generation systems (Soylu et al., 2006). They propose a multi-period, discrete and continuous MILP model, which includes investment in energy generation systems within production planning.

Some papers analyse the problem of CO₂ emissions caused by company production processes. One present mathematical models that may be used in industry to determine optimal production levels and product mix in the presence of various environmental restrictions and typical production planning limitations (Letmather et al., 2005; Bojarski et al., 2009).

- *Transport Operations*

The impact of transportation on the environment may be analysed in each supply network phase from transport of raw materials from providers to production plants (Hugo et al., 2005) to transport of finished products to distribution centres, retailers, consumers, and recycling centres.

Others authors propose an optimization model for freight consolidation in which CO₂s emissions are computed for two transport types (highway and railroad). The relation between emissions and type of transport and freight are nonlinear functions, which are later linearized with “piecewise” function (Pan et al., 2010).

One of the main causes of increasing CO₂ emissions is the low utilization of freight trucks (Van de Klundert et al., 2010). They propose improving freight vehicle capacity use by accepting additional freight through linear programming and heuristics techniques.

Calculation factors for type of transport (Abdallah et al., 2011), travel distance, and mass transported are frequently used in calculate CO₂ emissions.

- *Recycling, reuse and disposal*

For waste recycling and treatment, LCA is used to design models for identifying solid and liquid waste, as well as gas emissions due to various production processes (Chaabane et al., 2010). Models also analyse waste inside the supply network by analysing waste flow through the standpoint of accumulated costs (Hicks et al. 2004). Depending on the industrial sector, contributions in the area of industrial waste are found in the automotive (Duval et al., 2007), electronic (Ravi, 2012), and paper (Counsell et al., 2007) industries, among others.

4. Conclusions

This article has analysed and classified the most important contributions relating to integration of environmental variables in supply network design. Articles published in the area of GSNs focus on specific issues such as transport; finding

cleaner energy; or reducing energy consumption through technological or strategic innovation.

With respect to proposed mathematical models, in five analysed papers their authors use MILP models. Some authors adapt their models to a LCA approach (in four articles), while others authors present multi-objective models (in two analysed papers) or multi-period models in only one analysed paper.

Both facility location and technology selection are determined in the design stage, and allow a company to assess the environmental and economic impact of the supply network. While a greater investment in technology can lead to lower GHG emissions, it is important to find a balance between the economic and environmental impact of every supply network decision.

The CO₂ Market is an interesting alternative for managing CO₂ emissions via CO₂ credits. However, it is must be stressed that trading CO₂ credits does not directly lower GHG emissions.

The areas in which GHGs emissions can be significantly reduced are: production operations, transport, and recycling. In production, GHGs can be lowered through more efficient energy generation; selecting new sources of energy; introducing cleaner processes technology. In transport, emissions can be reduced by consolidating freight and maximizing freight truck utilization. And finally, in waste management and recycling, CO₂ emissions can be lowered by analysing waste flow along the supply network.

In conclusion, carbon emissions reduction in supply networks is a growing concern, requiring greater in-depth research on how to reduce CO₂ emissions in all phases of the supply network. The findings of such research must provide solutions that capitalize on the scientific and technological possibilities that exist today, and that can be adapted to corporate and governmental needs and realities.

The final objective of such research is to design a supply network, in which all logistical and industrial activities contribute to CO₂ reduction, preserving the environment, and as a result, obtaining strategic benefits.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the partial support of grant DPI2010-15614 (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain).

References

1. Abdallah T, Farhat A, Diabat A, Kennedy S (2011) A Green Supply Chain with Carbon Trading and Environmental Sourcing: Formulation and Life Cycle Assessment. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, doi: 10.1016/j.apm.2011.11.056

2. Bojarski A, Lainez JM, Espuña A, Puigjaner L (2009) Incorporating environmental impacts and regulations in a holistic supply chains modeling: An LCA approach. *Computers and Chemical Engineering* 33: 1747–1759.
3. BSI (British Standards Institution), PAS 2050:2011. Specification for the assessment of the life cycle greenhouse gas emissions of goods and services. London: BSI
4. BSI (British Standards Institution) (2008) How to assess the carbon footprint of goods and services. London: BSI.
5. Chaabane A, Ramudhin A, Paquet M (2010) Design of sustainable supply chains under the emission trading scheme. *Int. J. Production Economics* 135: 37–49.
6. Counsell T, Allwood J (2007) Reducing climate change gas emissions by cutting out stages in the life cycle of office paper. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 49: 340–352.
7. De Benedetto L, Klemes J (2009) The Environmental Performance Strategy Map: an integrated LCA approach to support the strategic decision-making process. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 17: 900–906.
8. Duval D, MacLean H (2007) The role of product information in automotive plastics recycling: a financial and life cycle assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 15: 1158–1168.
9. Freeman H, Harten T, Springer J, Randall P, Curran M, Stone K (1992) Industrial pollution prevention: A critical review. *Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association* 42: 618–656.
10. Hicks C, Heidrich O, McGovern T, Donnelly T (2004) A functional model of supply chains and waste. *International Journal of Production Economics* 89: 165–174.
11. Hugo A, Pistikopoulos EN (2005) Environmentally conscious long-range planning and design of supply chain networks. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 13: 1471–1491
12. ISO 14064 (2006) standards. Available via: www.iso.org. Cited 17 Feb 2012
13. Letmathe P, Letmathe N (2005) Environmental considerations on the optimal product mix. *Production, Manufacturing and Logistics* 167: 398–412.
14. Mir Saman P, Razmi J (2011) Environmental supply chain network design using multi-objective fuzzy mathematical programming. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, doi: 10.1016/j.apm.2011.10.007
15. Min H, JeungKo H, SeongKo C (2006) A genetic algorithm approach to developing the multi-echelon reverse logistics network for product returns. *Omega* 34: 56–69.
16. Moomaw W (1996) Industrial emissions of greenhouse. *Energy Policy* 24: 951–968.
17. Pan S, Ballot E, Fontane F (2010) The reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from freight transport by pooling supply chains. *International Journal of Production Economics*, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2010.10.023
18. Protocol, 2007. United Nations, Framework Convention on Climate Change. In: *Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. UNFCCC Secretariat.
19. Ramudhin A, Chaabane A, Kharoune M, Paquet M (2008) Carbon market sensitive green supply chain network design. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on industrial engineering and engineering management, IEEM 2008*
20. Ravi V (2012). Evaluating overall quality of recycling of e-waste from end-of-life computers. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 20: 145–151.
21. Soylu A, Oruç C, Turkay M, Fujita K, Asakura T (2006) Synergy analysis of collaborative supply chain management in energy systems using multi-period MILP. *European Journal of Operational Research* 174: 387–403.
22. Van de Klundert J, Otten B (2010) Improving LTL truck load utilization on line, *European Journal of Operational Research* 210: 336–343.
23. Wang F, Xiaofan L, Shi N (2011) A multi-objective optimization for green supply chain network design. *Decision Support Systems* 51: 262–269.
24. WBCSD/WRI (The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: a corporate accounting and reporting standard) (2001). The GHG Protocol has been, or is in the process of being, translated into

Chinese, French, and Japanese. In order to reach more companies, the protocol may be translated into several other languages as well.

25. Wu H, Dunn S (1995) Environmentally responsible logistics systems. *International, Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management* 25: 20–20.